

AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT				1. CONTRACT ID CODE See Block #2		PAGE OF PAGES 1 of 2	
2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NO. 0002		3. EFFECTIVE DATE 05 NOV 2004		4. REQUISITION/PURCHASE REQ.NO.		5. PROJECT NO. (If applicable)	
6. ISSUED BY AEDC PKP CODE AEDC/PAK 100 KINDEL DRIVE, SUITE A-332 ARNOLD AFB, TN 37389-1332 ERIC S. YATSKO 931-454-4347 ERIC.YATSKO@ARNOLD.AF.MIL		7. ADMINISTERED BY (If other than Item 6) CODE					
8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (No., street, county, State and ZIP Code) TO ALL PROSPECTIVE OFFERORS				(X)		9A. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NO. FA9101-05-R-0001	
				X		9B. DATED (SEE ITEM 11) 22 OCT 2004	
						10A. MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT/ORDER NO.	
						10B. DATED (SEE ITEM 13)	
CODE		FACILITY CODE					
11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS							
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in Item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of Offers <input type="checkbox"/> is extended, <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> is not extended. Offers must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended, by one of the following methods: (a) By completing Items 8 and 15, and returning 1 copies of the amendment; (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted; or (c) By separate letter or telegram which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment numbers. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this amendment you desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be made by telegram or letter, provided each telegram or letter makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified.							
12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DATA (If required)							
13. THIS ITEM APPLIES ONLY TO MODIFICATION OF CONTRACTS/ORDERS, IT MODIFIES THE CONTRACT/ORDER NO. AS DESCRIBED IN ITEM 14.							
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> <div style="width: 45%;"> A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO: () THE CHANGES SET FORTH IN ITEM 14 ARE MADE IN THE CONTRACT ORDER NO. ITEM 10A. </div> <div style="width: 50%;"> B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/ORDER IS MODIFIED TO REFLECT THE ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES (such as changes in paying office, appropriation data, etc.) SET FORTH IN ITEM 14, PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORITY OF FAR 43.103(b). </div> </div>							
C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS ENTERED INTO PURSUANT TO AUTHORITY OF:							
D. OTHER (Specify type of modification and authority)							
E. IMPORTANT: Contractor <input type="checkbox"/> is not, <input type="checkbox"/> is required to sign this document and return _____ copies to the issuing office.							
14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION (Organized by UCF section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.) SEE SCHEDULE							
Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced in Item 9A or 10A, as heretofore changed, remains unchanged and in full force and effect.							
15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print).				16A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print) TONY CLAYBORNE			
15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR		15C. DATE SIGNED		16B. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA		16C. DATE SIGNED	
_____ (Signature of person authorized to sign)				BY _____ (Signature of Contracting Officer)			

The purpose of this amendment is to amend the Evaluation Criteria Factors, Proposal Preparations Instructions, and FAR 52.212-02.

1. Amend FAR 52.212-02-Commerical (Jan 1999) (a) to read "Attachment 5" in lieu of "Attachment 2."
2. Amend FAR 5212-02-Commercial (Jan 1999) (a) to read "Technical, Past Performance, and Schedule, when combined, are See Attachment 5. [Contracting Officer state, in accordance with FAR 15.304, the relative importance of all other evaluation factors, when combined, when compared to price.]
3. Amend Attachment 4 - Proposal Preparation Instruction - Replace with Revised Proposal Preparation Instruction dated 5 Nov 2004
4. Amend Attachment 5 - Proposal Evaluation Factors - Replace with Revised Proposal Evaluation Factors dated 5 Nov 2004

PROPOSAL PREPARATION INSTRUCTIONS

To assure timely and equitable evaluation of proposals, offerors must follow the instructions contained herein. Proposals must be complete, self-sufficient, and respond directly to the requirements of this solicitation. The following sections set forth the minimum aspects that must be covered:

1. MISSION CAPABILITY PROPOSAL (TECHNICAL) –

- (a) Valve design details (physical dimensions, brochures, materials used, etc.)

Provide responses to demonstrate meeting the specification.

- (b) Data demonstrating achievement of Class VI leakage on a previously built, of similar size and design, valve.

2. PRICE PROPOSAL - Submit original and 0 copies

- (a) Complete blocks 30a, 30b, and 30c on Page 1 of 14, SF 1449. In doing so, the offeror agrees to the contract terms and conditions as written in the RFP Pages 1-24. These sections constitute the model contract.

- (b) Insert proposed prices in Section B for CLINs 0001-0003.

- (c) Complete the necessary fill-ins and certifications in FAR 52.212-03 Offeror Representations & Certifications—Commercial Items (Jan 2004), Alternate 1, (Apr 2002). FAR 52.212-03 shall be returned in its entirety.

2. PAST PERFORMANCE INFORMATION - Limited to no more than 2 pages per contract listed. Provide a list of no more than 5 contracts. Submit original and 0 copies.

- (a) The Government will evaluate the quality and extent of offeror's present and past performance deemed relevant to the requirements of this RFP. Provide information concerning relevant past performance as requested by the past performance questionnaire. Submit information concerning a maximum of five projects within five years preceding the issue date of this RFP for similarly-sized and designed valve in satisfactory use for at least the past five years. The Government will use information submitted by the offeror and other sources to assess past performance.

- (b) Relevant contracts are defined as follows: The bidder shall document his ability to perform this type of work by providing examples of contracts where he has:

Manufactured and achieved CLASS VI leakage on previous designs similar to the size of these valves. Performed manufacturing designs of valves of this size to requirements equal to or greater than those specified in the Statement of Work (SOW).

(c) Submit information on contracts that you consider relevant in demonstrating your ability to perform the proposed effort. Include rationale supporting your assertion of relevance. Offerors are required to explain what aspects of the contracts are deemed relevant to the proposed effort, and to what aspects of the proposed effort they relate.

3. SCHEDULE - – Provide a detailed material procurement, fabrication, test, and delivery schedule to show compliance with the period of performance requirement of 28 FEB 2005 or less.

4. NEGOTIATIONS - Negotiations may not be necessary and may not be used. You should, therefore, rely exclusively on your written material to convey your understanding of the project and your past performance.

Proposal Evaluation Factors

This contract will be awarded on a best value basis and will be evaluated on the following criteria:

1. Mission Capability. Technical compliance for meeting all the requirements in the valve specifications must be acceptable prior to proceeding to the integrated assessment of past performance, schedule and price.
2. Past Performance. - The offeror's present and past performance questionnaires as well as information obtained from other sources known to the Government will be evaluated to determine relevance of present and past work to the work required by this project. The purpose of the past performance evaluation is to allow the Government to assess the offeror's ability to perform the effort described in this RFP, based on the offeror's demonstrated present and past performance on relevant contracts. The performance confidence assessment process will result in an overall risk rating of Exceptional/High Confidence, Very Good/Significant Confidence, Satisfactory/ Confidence, Neutral/Unknown Confidence, Marginal/Little Confidence, or Unsatisfactory/No Confidence. Offerors with no relevant present or past performance history shall receive the rating "Neutral/Unknown Confidence", meaning the rating is treated neither favorably nor unfavorably.
3. Schedule. Delivery of the fully tested product by February 28, 2005 is crucial. A demonstrated ability to deliver this product by January 28, 2005 will be given extra evaluation credit. Evaluation credit will be removed for valve deliveries that take greater than 28 February 2005.
4. Price – The offeror's proposal prices will be evaluated for completeness, fairness, and reasonableness.

All criteria are equally weighted and will be used to determine the best value proposal.